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Context

- **French context**
  - DH systems supply only 6% (80TJ) of the French total heat demand
  - Policy of DH development to reduce the carbon emissions
    - Integration of renewable energy sources (biomass, solar…)
    - Construction low temperature DH systems
    - **Operational optimization of DH systems**
  - Why is operational optimization a key factor?

Number of energy sources in French DH systems (SNCU, 2011)

- 1 energy source: 40%
- 2 energy sources: 16%
- 3 energy sources: 27%
- 4 energy sources or more: 17%

Carrier fluid used in France (SNCU, 2011)

- Low temp. (<110 °C): 36%
- High temp. (> 110 °C): 34%
- Vapor: 1%
- Multi-fluid: 28%

Fossil and renewable energies share in French DH systems in 2007 and objectives for 2020
Context

- Operation of DH systems

✓ Empirical laws based on common sense (if… then…else)
  - Piling method to plan the production
  - Determination of $T_{supply}$ with a heating curve

+ Easy to program
- Sub-optimality
- Difficulty to implement anticipative strategy
Context

- **Operation of DH systems**
  - **Model Predictive Control**

    ![Model of the system](image)

    $+ \min (cost_{production} + cost_{distribution})$

    **Optimal planning of control variables**

    + **Optimal control**
    - **Difficulty to formulate the problem**

- **Existing tools**
  - Production optimization only
  - Distribution optimization only

---
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Objectives

1. Development and validation of component models
2. Dynamic modeling of a DH system
3. Development of an optimal control strategy of DH systems
   - Simultaneous optimization of production and distribution
   - Set planning for each control variable

Always with the objective of optimizing the control: Low computational costs
Overview of the proposed approach

Heat demand prediction

Substation

Network

Production plant + pump

Supply the heat demand: a combination of the supply temperature and differential pressure

Heat prop. dynamics
Heat losses
Pressure losses

Optimal control: minimizing the production and distribution costs
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The substation

• Modelling

  • Modelling the substation is essential to consider the consumers’ demand

  • 1 SST = 1 heat exchanger + 1 control valve + 1 controller

  • Development of several models

  • Comparison to experimental data [2]
    ✓ Several substations
    ✓ 1 year

 ➔ Selection of the most appropriate model (ESM₃)

Monthly Mean Absolute Error (MAE) for each substation model

En+Eff - 22nd International Trade Fair and Congress, Frankfurt, 19-21 April 2016
The network

- **Modelling**
  - Representation of the dynamic temperature propagation, heat losses and pressure losses
  - 2 pipe models developed [3]:
    - The element model (Finite Volume Method)
    - The node model (Method of Characteristics)

- **Experimental comparison: Grenoble**
  - Accurate representation for both models
  - Computational costs
    - Node model $\sim \frac{1}{10}$ Element model

$\Rightarrow$ The node model is the most appropriate model

Numerical vs. experimental comparison of the outlet temperature evolutions on the Grenoble DH system
Optimization of the control

• **Objectives:**
  - Determine optimal planning for each control variables
  - Minimize the production costs:
    - Heat production costs
    - Pumping costs
    - Starting costs
  - Supply the heat demand of each consumer $\Rightarrow (T, \Delta P)_{\text{min}}$
  - Consider several technical constraints (ex: Generators operating range)

• **Methods:**
  - Model Predictive Control
  - Mixed Integer Linear Programming
  - Iterative method between the dynamic model and the optimizer

$\Rightarrow$ More details in [4]

Heat load prediction

Dynamic DH model

Optimizer

Optimized control variables

DH system

Proposed algorithm to control DH systems
CASE STUDY
Case study

• **Description**

• **Created with the developed and validated component models**

• **Representative of a district area of Grenoble**
  ✓ Liquid pressurized water
  ✓ 15 heat generators (5 energy sources)
  ✓ Real heat demand from the Grenoble DH
  ✓ Real SST and generator parameters

---

**Daily evolution of the electricity cost**
PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS OF OUR CONTROL METHOD
Performance analysis of our control method

• **Comparison of 3 control methods**

  *Characteristics of each control method*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Production</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empirical laws</td>
<td>Piling method</td>
<td>Heating curve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production optimization</td>
<td>Optimization</td>
<td>Heating curve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global optimization</td>
<td>Production + distribution optimization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

✓ Heat demand prediction is supposed ideal for each control method

• **Times of the simulation**

  ✓ Simulation horizon: Heating season 2013 / 2014
  ✓ Time step: 15 minutes
Performance analysis of our control method

- **Zoom on 5 days of the production planning**

- **Global results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Production costs</td>
<td>100 %</td>
<td>93,59 %</td>
<td>91,74 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Produced energy</td>
<td>100 %</td>
<td><strong>100 %</strong></td>
<td>98,34 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Reduction of the generator starts
- Reduction of the produced energy
- Use the network as storage
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Performance analysis of our control method

• How does our method reduce the energy and use the network as storage?

- Reduction of the produced energy:
  ✓ Minimization of the supply temperature
  ✓ Maximization of the differential pressure
  ➔ Reduction of the heat losses

- Heat storage in the network:
  ✓ Increase of the supply temperature before a peak demand
  ✓ Decrease of the differential pressure
  ➔ Constant produced power
CONTROL IN REAL CONDITIONS
Control in real conditions

• **What are real conditions?**
  
  ✓ Uncertainties on the demand prediction
  ✓ Gaps with the set-points

• **Robustification of our method (on going)**

  ✓ Unexpected events are considered in our control method

  ✓ How to supply the heat demand and minimize the costs?
    - Minimize the simulation times
    - Control $\Delta P$ in reactive when necessary
    - Margin on the supply temperature and available power
CONCLUSIONS
Summary

• Development and validation of several component models
  ✓ The substation
  ✓ The network
  ✓ The production plant
  ✓ The pump
  ✓ …And others gathered in a Modelica library, District Heating

• Development of a new control method for global optimization using:
  ✓ A dynamic DH model
  ✓ A linear optimizer

• Compared to an empirical control strategy, the production costs are decreased of about 8%.
Conclusions and perspectives

- Possibility to optimally operate DH systems:
  - Minimize the heat losses
  - Use the network as storage

- The computational costs are adapted to an in-line utilization.

- **Further steps**
  - Robustification of the control method in real conditions (ongoing)
  - Implement this method on the DH system of Grenoble (in progress)
  - Consider more control variables
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